



U.S. OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OFFICE OF AUDITS

Final Audit Report

Subject:

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT ON THE AUDIT OF GLOBAL NON-COVERED AMBULANCE CLAIMS FOR BLUECROSS AND BLUESHIELD PLANS

Report No. 1A-99-00-13-046

Date: April 17, 2014

--CAUTION--

This audit report has been distributed to Federal officials who are responsible for the administration of the audited program. This audit report may contain proprietary data which is protected by Federal law (18 U.S.C. 1905). Therefore, while this audit report is available under the Freedom of Information Act and made available to the public on the OIG webpage, caution needs to be exercised before releasing the report to the general public as it may contain proprietary information that was redacted from the publicly distributed copy.

Federal Employees Health Benefits Program
Service Benefit Plan Contract CS 1039
BlueCross BlueShield Association
Plan Code 10

Global Audit of Non-Covered Ambulance Claims
BlueCross and BlueShield Plans

REPORT NO. 1A-99-00-13-046

DATE: April 17, 2014



Michael R. Esser
Assistant Inspector General
for Audits

--CAUTION--

This audit report has been distributed to Federal officials who are responsible for the administration of the audited program. This audit report may contain proprietary data that is protected by Federal law (18 U.S.C. 1905). Therefore, while this audit report is available under the Freedom of Information Act and made available to the public on the OIG webpage, caution needs to be exercised before releasing the report to the general public as it may contain proprietary information that was redacted from the publicly distributed copy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Federal Employees Health Benefits Program
Service Benefit Plan Contract CS 1039
BlueCross BlueShield Association
Plan Code 10

Global Audit of Non-Covered Ambulance Claims
BlueCross and BlueShield Plans

REPORT NO. 1A-99-00-13-046 DATE: April 17, 2014

This supplemental final report on the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (FEHBP) operations at all BlueCross and BlueShield (BCBS) plans questions \$1,423,823 in health benefit charges. The BlueCross BlueShield Association (Association) and/or BCBS plans agreed with \$466,670 and disagreed with \$957,153 of the questioned charges.

The audit report covers health benefit payments from June 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012 as reported on the plans' Annual Accounting Statements, and supplements our fiscal year 2012 Global Coordination of Benefits Audit (Report No. 1A-99-00-12-029) where we identified issues related to the processing of claims for ambulance services. Specifically, we requested the Association to identify all BCBS claims potentially containing non-covered ambulance services during the reporting period. We selected for review all 11,834 claims (representing 27,266 claim lines), totaling \$2,953,809 in health benefit charges to the FEHBP, that the Association identified as potentially containing non-covered ambulance services. Based on our review of this sample, we determined that BCBS plans incorrectly paid 7,548 claims (representing 15,794 claim lines), resulting in \$1,423,823 in overcharges to the FEHBP.

CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
II. OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY	3
III. AUDIT FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5
Non-Covered Ambulance Services.....	5
IV. MAJOR CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS REPORT	9
V. SCHEDULES	
A. SUMMARY OF SELECTIONS BY PLAN	
B. SUMMARY OF QUESTIONED CHARGES BY PLAN	
APPENDIX: BlueCross BlueShield Association’s October 1, 2013 reply to the draft audit report, issued June 21, 2013.	

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

INTRODUCTION

This supplemental final audit report details the findings, conclusions, and recommendations resulting from our limited scope audit of the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (FEHBP) operations at all BlueCross and BlueShield (BCBS) plans.

The audit was performed by the Office of Personnel Management's (OPM) Office of the Inspector General (OIG), as established by the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended.

BACKGROUND

The FEHBP was established by the Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) Act (Public Law 86-382), enacted on September 28, 1959. The FEHBP was created to provide health insurance benefits for federal employees, annuitants, and dependents. OPM's Healthcare and Insurance Office has overall responsibility for administration of the FEHBP. The provisions of the FEHB Act are implemented by OPM through regulations, which are codified in Title 5, Chapter 1, Part 890 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Health insurance coverage is made available through contracts with various health insurance carriers.

The BlueCross BlueShield Association (Association), on behalf of participating BCBS plans, has entered into a Government-wide Service Benefit Plan contract (CS 1039) with OPM to provide a health benefit plan authorized by the FEHB Act. The Association delegates authority to participating local BCBS plans throughout the United States to process the health benefit claims of its federal subscribers. There are 64 local BCBS plans participating in the FEHBP.

The Association has established a Federal Employee Program (FEP¹) Director's Office in Washington, D.C. to provide centralized management for the Service Benefit Plan. The FEP Director's Office coordinates the administration of the contract with the Association, member BlueCross BlueShield plans, and OPM.

The Association has also established an FEP Operations Center. The activities of the FEP Operations Center are performed by CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield, located in Washington, D.C. These activities include acting as fiscal intermediary between the Association and member plans, verifying subscriber eligibility, approving or disapproving the reimbursement of local plan payments of FEHBP claims (using computerized system edits), maintaining a history of all FEHBP claims, and accounting for all program funds.

Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to the FEHBP is the responsibility of the Association and plan management. Also, management of each BCBS plan is responsible for establishing and maintaining a system of internal controls.

¹ Throughout this report, when we refer to "FEP", we are referring to the Service Benefit Plan lines of business at the Plan. When we refer to the "FEHBP", we are referring to the program that provides health benefits to federal employees.

This is our first global audit of non-covered ambulance claims for the BCBS plans. Our sample selections, instructions, and preliminary audit results were presented in detail in a formal information request, dated April 1, 2013, and discussed in detail with the Association and BCBS plan officials during the entrance conference on June 4, 2013. The Association's October 1, 2013 comments offered in response to our draft report, dated June 21, 2013, were considered in preparing our final report and are included as the Appendix to this report. Also, additional documentation provided by the Association and BCBS plans on various dates through February 27, 2014 was considered in preparing our final report.

II. OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

OBJECTIVE

The objectives of our audit were to determine whether the BCBS plans charged costs to the FEHBP and provided services to FEHBP members in accordance with the terms of the contract. Specifically, our objectives were to determine whether the plans complied with contract provisions related to claims containing non-covered ambulance services.

SCOPE

We conducted our limited scope performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient and appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions.

The audit covered health benefit payments from June 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012 as reported on the plans' Annual Accounting Statements. The scope of this audit was limited to the review of paid claims that potentially contained non-covered ambulance services related to an issue we identified during our fiscal year 2012 global coordination of benefits (COB) audit (Report No. 1A-99-00-12-029). We issued a formal information request to the Association on December 18, 2012, instructing the FEP Operations Center to identify all paid claims that potentially contained non-covered ambulance services during the period of June 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012. On April 25, 2013, the Association informed us that 11,834 claims, totaling \$2,953,809 in charges to the FEHBP, potentially contained non-covered ambulance services. We selected for review all 11,834 claims (representing 27,266 claim lines).

We did not consider each BCBS plan's internal control structure in planning and conducting our auditing procedures. Our audit approach consisted mainly of substantive tests of transactions and not tests of controls. Therefore, we do not express an opinion on each BCBS plan's system of internal controls taken as a whole.

We also conducted tests to determine whether the BCBS plans had complied with the contract, laws, and regulations governing the FEHBP as they relate to non-covered ambulance claims. The results of our tests indicate that, with respect to claim payments that contained non-covered ambulance services, the BCBS plans did not fully comply with the provisions of the contract. Exceptions noted are explained in detail in the "Audit Findings and Recommendations" section of this audit report. With respect to the items not tested, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the BCBS plans had not complied, in all material respects, with those provisions.

In conducting our audit, we relied to varying degrees on computer-generated data provided by the FEP Operations Center and the BCBS plans. The BCBS claims data is provided to us on a monthly basis by the FEP Operations Center and is uploaded into our internal data warehouse. Through audits and a reconciliation process, we have verified the reliability of the claims data in

our data warehouse. However, due to time constraints, we did not verify the reliability of the data generated by the FEP Operations Center, which was used to identify the universe of claims within this review. While utilizing the computer-generated data during our audit testing, nothing came to our attention to cause us to doubt its reliability. We believe that the data was sufficient to achieve our audit objectives.

The audit was performed at our offices in Washington, D.C.; Cranberry Township, Pennsylvania; and Jacksonville, Florida from June 2013 through February 2014.

METHODOLOGY

To test each BCBS plan's compliance with the FEHBP health benefit provisions, we selected for review all 11,834 non-covered ambulance claims paid during the period of June 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012 that were potentially not priced in accordance with the Service Benefit Plan brochure. See Schedule A for a summary of the selections of potentially non-covered ambulance claims by BCBS plan.

The sample selections were submitted to each BCBS plan for their review and response. We then conducted a limited review of the plans' "paid incorrectly" responses and an expanded review of the plans' "paid correctly" responses. Specifically, we verified the supporting documentation, the accuracy and completeness of the plans' responses, determined if the claims were paid correctly, and/or calculated the appropriate questioned amounts for the claim payment errors. Additionally, we reviewed the status of corrective actions that have been implemented by the Association, FEP Operations Center and/or the BCBS plans. We did not project the sample results to the universe of claims potentially containing non-covered ambulance services.

The determination of the questioned amount is based on the FEHBP contract, the 2011 and 2012 Service Benefit Plan brochures, and the Association's FEP Administrative Manual.

III. AUDIT FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Non-Covered Ambulance Services

\$1,423,823

During our FY 2012 global COB audit, we determined the FEP Direct system (which processes and approves all FEP claim payments) and/or BCBS plan local systems were incorrectly processing claims containing non-covered ambulance services. Based on our expanded review of this error, we determined that the BCBS plans incorrectly paid an additional 7,548 claims (representing 15,794 claim lines), resulting in net overcharges of \$1,423,823 to the FEHBP.²

The 2012 BCBS Service Benefit Plan brochure states that ambulance transport services are covered under the following circumstances: “when medically appropriate and related to medical emergency or accidental injury; or associated with inpatient hospital care or covered hospice.” Additionally, non-covered services are defined as, “ambulance and any other modes of transportation to or from services including but not limited to physician appointments, dialysis, or diagnostic tests not associated with covered inpatient hospital care.”

Contract CS 1039, Part III, section 3.2 (b)(1) states “The Carrier may charge a cost to the contract for a contract term if the cost is actual, allowable, allocable, and reasonable.” Part II, section 2.3(g) states, “If the Carrier [or OPM] determines that a Member’s claim has been paid in error for any reason . . . the Carrier shall make a prompt and diligent effort to recover the erroneous payment The recovery of any overpayment must be treated as an erroneous benefit payment, overpayment, or duplicate payment regardless of any time period limitations in the written agreement with the provider.”

While reviewing the claims for the 2012 global COB audit, we identified a system error in the FEP Direct claims processing system that allows payment for non-covered ambulance services as defined by the Service Benefit Plan brochure. According to the Association, this system error was identified in May 2011, and corrective actions to enhance FEP Direct to defer claims containing non-covered ambulance services were implemented on April 1, 2012. Although corrective actions were implemented to fix the FEP Direct system, the Association did not instruct the BCBS plans to identify, review and/or adjust the claims that were potentially affected by this error, or to initiate recoveries for the actual overpayments until after the start of our FY 2012 global COB audit.

On December 18, 2012, we issued a formal information request to the Association instructing the FEP Operations Center to identify all claims paid during the period of June 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012 that potentially contained non-covered ambulance services. Specifically, we requested the FEP Operations Center to identify all paid claims containing procedure codes A0021, A0080, A0090, A0100, A0110, A0120, A0130, A0140, A0160, A0170, A0180, A0190, A0200, A0210, A0420, A0426, or A0428 that did not meet the Service Benefit Plan brochure’s criteria for covered ambulance services. On April 25, 2013, the Association informed us that 11,834 claims (representing 27,266 claim lines), totaling \$2,953,809 in charges to the FEHBP, potentially contained non-covered ambulance services. Due to the possible significant impact to the

² During our 2012 global COB audit, we questioned 558 claim lines, totaling \$221,439 in overcharges to the FEHBP. These overcharges are not included in the questioned costs of this supplemental final report.

FEHBP, we expanded our review and requested each BCBS plan to identify and/or review claims that were potentially processed and paid incorrectly. We submitted these additional 11,834 claims for their review and response.

Based on our expanded review, we determined that 62 of the 64 BCBS plans incorrectly paid an additional 15,794 claim lines, totaling \$1,423,823 in overcharges to the FEHBP (See Schedule B for a summary of questioned charges by BCBS plan).

Our audit disclosed the following for these overpayments:

- The BCBS plans incorrectly paid 10,733 claim lines because the BCBS plans' local systems and/or FEP Direct system did not defer these claims for review. This resulted in overcharges of \$1,023,160 to the FEHBP. In most instances, we determined these claims did not contain an emergency diagnosis code and the plans did not provide sufficient documentation to support payment for these services as described in the Service Benefit Plan brochure. Additionally, we determined that 30 percent of the claim payment errors were processed after April 1, 2012 (i.e., the date FEP Direct enhancements were implemented) and were related to a systematic processing error.
- The BCBS plans incorrectly paid 4,425 claim lines due to manual processing errors, resulting in overcharges of \$310,109 to the FEHBP. For 3,946 of these claim lines (89 percent), the local plan processors overrode the non-emergency ambulance system deferral "C3P" in FEP Direct and allowed payment for these services. The plans did not provide sufficient documentation to support payment for these services as described in the Service Benefit Plan brochure.
- The BCBS plans incorrectly paid 636 claim lines due to provider billing errors (e.g., the incorrect use of modifiers and procedure codes), resulting in overcharges of \$90,554 to the FEHBP.

Association's Response:

The Association agrees with \$399,231 of the questioned charges. The Association states the plans will continue to pursue these overpayments as required by CS 1039, Part II, section 2.3(g)(1).

Regarding the contested amount, the Association disagrees with these questioned charges for the following reasons:

- Claims were for covered accidental injury transport, medical emergency transport, medically necessary hospital, skilled nursing home, or hospice transport, or were reviewed and approved for medically necessary ambulance transport.
- Claims were paid incorrectly; however, recovery was not initiated due to the threshold of recovery (less than \$100) or the plans were unable to initiate recovery as a result of provider contract limitations.

Regarding corrective actions to reduce claim payment errors, the Association states, “The FEP Direct claim system was updated on April 1, 2012 to include a system edit to prevent certain non-emergency ambulance transport claims from paying without plan medical review. However, [the Association] expects to evaluate the results of this audit to identify additional corrective actions that will further reduce the occurrence of the overpayments in the future. We expect to have this activity completed by November 30, 2013.”

OIG Comments:

After reviewing the Association’s response and additional documentation provided by the BCBS plans, we determined that 62 BCBS plans incorrectly paid 7,548 claims, resulting in overcharges of \$1,423,823 to the FEHBP. Based on the Association’s response and the BCBS plans’ additional documentation, we determined that the Association and/or plans agree with \$466,670 and disagree with \$957,153 of these questioned overcharges. Although the Association only agrees with \$399,231 of these questioned overcharges in its response, the BCBS plans’ documentation supports concurrence with \$466,670.

Based on the Association’s response and/or the BCBS plans’ documentation, the contested amount of \$957,153 is comprised of the following items:

- \$746,154 represents 6,673 claim line overpayments where the BCBS plans’ local system and/or FEP Direct did not defer these claims for review and the plans did not provide sufficient documentation to support payment for these services as described in the Service Benefit Plan brochure.
- \$210,999 represents 2,932 claim line overpayments where the BCBS local plan processors overrode the non-emergency ambulance deferral in FEP Direct and the plans did not provide sufficient documentation to support payment for these services as described in the Service Benefit Plan brochure.

Recommendation 1

We recommend that the contracting officer disallow \$1,423,823 for claim overcharges and verify that the BCBS plans return all amounts recovered to the FEHBP.

Recommendation 2

Due to the volume of claims identified after FEP Direct enhancements for non-covered ambulance services were implemented, we recommend that the contracting officer require the Association to have the FEP Operations Center identify the reason(s) why FEP Direct continues to allow non-covered ambulance claims to process and pay. Also, we recommend that the contracting officer require the Association to provide evidence or supporting documentation ensuring that the FEP Operations Center implemented the appropriate system modifications.

Recommendation 3

We recommend that the contracting officer require the Association to consider discontinuing the use of modifiers as a source of edits on non-covered ambulance claims that allow the claims to process and pay. Instead, the FEP Operations Center should develop programming enhancements that rely on the patient's history to evaluate compliance with the Service Benefit Plan brochure criteria (i.e., when medically appropriate and related to medical emergency or accidental injury; or associated with inpatient hospital care or covered hospice care) before processing the claim payment.

Recommendation 4

Due to the volume of claims where the local plan processors overrode the FEP Direct system edits for non-covered ambulance claims, we recommend that the contracting officer require the Association to provide education and/or detailed training to the local BCBS plans related to FEHBP coverage for non-covered ambulance services. Also, we recommend that the contracting officer require the Association to provide evidence or supporting documentation ensuring that the Association implemented the corrective actions.

IV. MAJOR CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS REPORT

Information Systems Audits Group

██████████ Chief

██████████ Senior Team Leader

██████████, Auditor-in-Charge

██████████, Auditor

██████████, Auditor

Experience-Rated Audits Group

██████████, Chief

Office of Management

██████████, Senior Information Technology Specialist

██████████ Senior Information Technology Specialist

V. SCHEDULES
GLOBAL AUDIT OF NON-COVERED AMBULANCE CLAIMS
BLUECROSS AND BLUESHIELD PLANS

SUMMARY OF SELECTIONS BY PLAN

Site Number	Plan Name	State	FACILITY CLAIMS			PROFESSIONAL CLAIMS			TOTAL		
			Claims	Claim Lines	Total Amounts Paid	Claims	Claim Lines	Total Amounts Paid	Claims	Claim Lines	Total Amounts Paid
003	BlueCross BlueShield of New Mexico (HCSC)	NM	1	4	\$226	48	95	\$13,435	49	99	\$13,661
005	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Georgia	GA	42	127	\$11,246	315	672	\$46,221	357	799	\$57,467
006	CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield (Maryland Service Area)	MD	5	10	\$620	667	1406	\$69,742	672	1,416	\$70,362
007	BlueCross BlueShield of Louisiana	LA	16	58	\$8,698	73	171	\$25,595	89	229	\$34,293
009	BlueCross BlueShield of Alabama	AL	22	44	\$2,275	510	1112	\$86,086	532	1,156	\$88,360
010	BlueCross of Idaho Health Service	ID	3	9	\$2,075	19	47	\$3,053	22	56	\$5,128
011	BlueCross BlueShield of Massachusetts	MA	10	20	\$551	304	664	\$113,605	314	684	\$114,156
012	BlueCross BlueShield of Western New York	NY	0	0	\$0	77	156	\$23,127	77	156	\$23,127
013	Highmark BlueCross BlueShield	NY	1	2	\$59	585	1325	\$84,458	586	1,327	\$84,517
015	BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee	TN	59	133	\$8,624	733	1531	\$91,107	792	1,664	\$99,732
016	BlueCross BlueShield of Wyoming	WY	10	20	\$2,866	9	18	\$3,802	19	38	\$6,668
017	BlueCross BlueShield of Illinois (HCSC)	IL	8	16	\$2,888	438	1035	\$157,701	446	1,051	\$160,589
021	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Ohio	OH	23	91	\$34,095	420	917	\$82,566	443	1,008	\$116,661
024	BlueCross BlueShield of South Carolina	SC	11	33	\$1,052	20	39	\$3,232	31	72	\$4,284
027	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of New Hampshire	NH	1	1	\$73	48	94	\$17,955	49	95	\$18,028
028	BlueCross BlueShield of Vermont	VT	0	0	\$0	27	63	\$10,028	27	63	\$10,028
029	BlueCross BlueShield of Texas (HCSC)	TX	34	104	\$16,234	876	2140	\$462,047	910	2,244	\$478,281
030	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Colorado	CO	8	17	\$10,729	48	115	\$27,058	56	132	\$37,787
031	Wellmark BlueCross BlueShield of Iowa	IA	42	186	\$22,018	74	202	\$13,064	116	388	\$35,082
032	BlueCross BlueShield of Michigan	MI	3	19	\$1,316	225	483	\$49,360	228	502	\$50,675
033	BlueCross BlueShield of North Carolina	NC	42	196	\$16,046	384	799	\$57,213	426	995	\$73,259
034	BlueCross BlueShield of North Dakota	ND	2	8	\$317	21	46	\$26,043	23	54	\$26,360
036	Capital BlueCross	PA	11	24	\$3,851	0	0	\$0	11	24	\$3,851
037	BlueCross BlueShield of Montana (HCSC)	MT	12	33	\$6,564	19	41	\$12,744	31	74	\$19,307
038	BlueCross BlueShield of Hawaii	HI	0	0	\$0	11	22	\$5,638	11	22	\$5,638
039	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Indiana	IN	36	202	\$19,306	167	419	\$34,649	203	621	\$53,954
040	BlueCross BlueShield of Mississippi	MS	0	0	\$0	67	197	\$28,952	67	197	\$28,952
041	Florida Blue	FL	1	2	\$61	372	827	\$85,123	373	829	\$85,185
042	BlueCross BlueShield of Kansas City (Missouri)	MO	0	0	\$0	80	169	\$17,211	80	169	\$17,211
043	Regence BlueShield of Idaho	ID	0	0	\$0	4	16	\$469	4	16	\$469
044	BlueCross BlueShield of Arkansas	AR	4	19	\$879	68	144	\$28,369	72	163	\$29,248
045	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Kentucky	KY	9	11	\$1,113	177	395	\$27,850	186	406	\$28,964
047	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield United of Wisconsin	WI	4	51	\$852	45	102	\$9,943	49	153	\$10,794
048	Empire BlueCross BlueShield (WellPoint)	NY	1	2	\$55	259	585	\$32,018	260	587	\$32,073
049	Horizon BlueCross BlueShield of New Jersey	NJ	96	302	\$65,691	1003	2376	\$117,736	1,099	2,678	\$183,427
050	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Connecticut	CT	0	0	\$0	72	144	\$27,156	72	144	\$27,156
052	WellPoint BlueCross of California	CA	5	19	\$2,069	0	0	\$0	5	19	\$2,069
053	BlueCross BlueShield of Nebraska	NE	7	12	\$4,401	19	38	\$5,352	26	50	\$9,753
054	Mountain State BlueCross BlueShield	WV	5	10	\$626	112	231	\$16,927	117	241	\$17,552
055	Independence BlueCross	PA	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$0
056	BlueCross BlueShield of Arizona	AZ	1	2	\$47	99	263	\$72,268	100	265	\$72,316
058	Regence BlueCross BlueShield of Oregon	OR	1	2	\$392	53	93	\$28,251	54	95	\$28,643
059	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Maine	ME	12	150	\$25,158	60	125	\$12,079	72	275	\$37,236
060	BlueCross BlueShield of Rhode Island	RI	0	0	\$0	208	434	\$17,085	208	434	\$17,085
061	Wellpoint BlueCross BlueShield of Nevada	NV	2	14	\$2,193	26	54	\$14,719	28	68	\$16,912
062	WellPoint BlueCross Blue Shield of Virginia	VA	10	89	\$7,186	403	843	\$52,589	413	932	\$59,775
064	Excellus BlueCross BlueShield of the Rochester Area	NY	0	0	\$0	31	66	\$6,796	31	66	\$6,796
066	Regence BlueCross BlueShield of Utah	UT	0	0	\$0	28	75	\$12,753	28	75	\$12,753
067	BlueShield of California	CA	0	0	\$0	386	934	\$190,587	386	934	\$190,587
068	Triple-S Salud, Inc of Puerto Rico	PR	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$0
069	Regence BlueShield (Washington)	WA	0	0	\$0	216	501	\$51,947	216	501	\$51,947
070	BlueCross BlueShield of Alaska	AK	0	0	\$0	34	74	\$23,304	34	74	\$23,304
074	Wellmark BlueCross BlueShield of South Dakota	SD	0	0	\$0	22	62	\$5,787	22	62	\$5,787
075	Premera BlueCross	WA	5	24	\$5,284	22	52	\$7,900	27	76	\$13,184

GLOBAL AUDIT OF NON-COVERED AMBULANCE CLAIMS
BLUECROSS AND BLUESHIELD PLANS

SUMMARY OF SELECTIONS BY PLAN

Site Number	Plan Name	State	FACILITY CLAIMS			PROFESSIONAL CLAIMS			TOTAL		
			Claims	Claim Lines	Total Amounts Paid	Claims	Claim Lines	Total Amounts Paid	Claims	Claim Lines	Total Amounts Paid
076	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Missouri	MO	22	81	\$4,335	114	240	\$21,594	136	321	\$25,928
078	BlueCross BlueShield of Minnesota	MN	22	85	\$9,867	59	124	\$45,439	81	209	\$55,306
079	Excellus BlueCross BlueShield of Central New York	NY	0	0	\$0	7	16	\$1,910	7	16	\$1,910
082	BlueCross BlueShield of Kansas	KS	10	20	\$2,158	43	96	\$11,298	53	116	\$13,456
083	BlueCross BlueShield of Oklahoma (HCSC)	OK	0	0	\$0	166	360	\$36,518	166	360	\$36,518
084	Excellus BlueCross BlueShield of Utica-Watertown	NY	0	0	\$0	11	22	\$530	11	22	\$530
085	CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield (DC Service Area)	DC	4	8	\$572	749	1581	\$107,895	753	1,589	\$108,467
088	BlueCross of Northeastern Pennsylvania	PA	1	2	\$256	0	0	\$0	1	2	\$256
089	BlueCross BlueShield of Delaware	DE	0	0	\$0	68	140	\$5,133	68	140	\$5,133
092	CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield (Overseas Area)	DC	0	0	\$0	9	13	\$5,872	9	13	\$5,872
TOTALS			624	2,262	\$304,925	11,210	25,004	\$2,648,884	11,834	27,266	\$2,953,809

Number of BCBS Plans Reviewed = 62

GLOBAL AUDIT OF NON-COVERED AMBULANCE CLAIMS
BLUECROSS AND BLUESHIELD PLANS

SUMMARY OF QUESTIONED CHARGES BY PLAN

Site Number	Plan Name	State	Total Questioned		Amounts Questioned by Year		Plan	Plan
			Claim Lines	Total Charges	2011	2012	Agrees	Disagrees
003	BlueCross BlueShield of New Mexico (HCSC)	NM	55	\$6,635	\$713	\$5,923	\$0	\$6,635
005	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Georgia	GA	606	\$47,530	\$19,979	\$27,551	\$30,740	\$16,791
006	CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield (Maryland Service Area)	MD	1062	\$47,790	\$18,484	\$29,306	\$4,919	\$42,871
007	BlueCross BlueShield of Louisiana	LA	96	\$10,051	\$4,524	\$5,527	\$7,144	\$2,907
009	BlueCross BlueShield of Alabama	AL	700	\$57,066	\$44,150	\$12,916	\$29,139	\$27,928
010	BlueCross of Idaho Health Service	ID	22	\$1,435	\$691	\$744	\$578	\$857
011	BlueCross BlueShield of Massachusetts	MA	212	\$28,856	\$5,575	\$23,281	\$10,541	\$18,315
012	BlueCross BlueShield of Western New York	NY	87	\$11,772	\$7,123	\$4,648	\$263	\$11,508
013	Highmark BlueCross BlueShield	NY	455	\$17,690	\$7,500	\$10,190	\$6,338	\$11,352
015	BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee	TN	849	\$97,964	\$26,128	\$71,836	\$96,984	\$980
016	BlueCross BlueShield of Wyoming	WY	5	\$1,263	\$0	\$1,263	\$0	\$1,263
017	BlueCross BlueShield of Illinois (HCSC)	IL	624	\$85,664	\$34,392	\$51,272	\$3,719	\$81,945
021	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Ohio	OH	639	\$55,358	\$17,777	\$37,581	\$23,080	\$32,278
024	BlueCross BlueShield of South Carolina	SC	18	\$1,433	\$186	\$1,247	\$844	\$589
027	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of New Hampshire	NH	74	\$14,035	\$5,428	\$8,607	\$1,781	\$12,254
028	BlueCross BlueShield of Vermont	VT	27	\$3,906	\$469	\$3,437	\$49	\$3,856
029	BlueCross BlueShield of Texas (HCSC)	TX	1396	\$143,599	\$55,142	\$88,457	\$8,946	\$134,653
030	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Colorado	CO	84	\$35,486	\$21,554	\$13,932	\$6,809	\$28,677
031	Wellmark BlueCross BlueShield of Iowa	IA	148	\$15,787	\$6,780	\$9,007	\$7,917	\$7,870
032	BlueCross BlueShield of Michigan	MI	209	\$13,353	\$5,327	\$8,026	\$2,308	\$11,045
033	BlueCross BlueShield of North Carolina	NC	766	\$60,472	\$15,112	\$45,360	\$43,654	\$16,818
034	BlueCross BlueShield of North Dakota	ND	32	\$17,133	\$7,724	\$9,409	\$317	\$16,816
036	Capital BlueCross	PA	4	\$1,690	\$0	\$1,690	\$0	\$1,690
037	BlueCross BlueShield of Montana (HCSC)	MT	26	\$7,176	\$125	\$7,051	\$75	\$7,102
038	BlueCross BlueShield of Hawaii	HI	10	\$2,533	\$1,562	\$971	\$517	\$2,017
039	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Indiana	IN	276	\$21,255	\$10,231	\$11,024	\$8,182	\$13,073
040	BlueCross BlueShield of Mississippi	MS	93	\$15,385	\$11,455	\$3,930	\$6,265	\$9,120
041	Florida Blue	FL	389	\$36,678	\$12,186	\$24,493	\$6,512	\$30,166
042	BlueCross BlueShield of Kansas City (Missouri)	MO	76	\$7,181	\$1,661	\$5,521	\$3,169	\$4,013
043	BlueShield of Idaho	ID	5	\$235	\$46	\$190	\$46	\$190
044	BlueCross BlueShield of Arkansas	AR	83	\$11,736	\$5,385	\$6,351	\$428	\$11,308
045	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Kentucky	KY	305	\$22,318	\$9,537	\$12,780	\$7,473	\$14,845
047	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield United of Wisconsin	WI	56	\$5,515	\$1,811	\$3,704	\$2,299	\$3,216
048	Empire BlueCross BlueShield (WellPoint)	NY	390	\$18,531	\$7,197	\$11,334	\$11,842	\$6,690
049	Horizon BlueCross BlueShield of New Jersey	NJ	1870	\$86,673	\$54,806	\$31,867	\$71,823	\$14,850
050	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Connecticut	CT	84	\$11,891	\$3,205	\$8,686	\$2,621	\$9,269
052	WellPoint BlueCross of California	CA	3	\$1,070	\$99	\$972	\$972	\$99
053	BlueCross BlueShield of Nebraska	NE	28	\$3,410	\$194	\$3,216	\$0	\$3,410
054	Mountain State BlueCross BlueShield	WV	94	\$5,284	\$2,111	\$3,174	\$1,597	\$3,687
055	Independence BlueCross	PA	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

GLOBAL AUDIT OF NON-COVERED AMBULANCE CLAIMS
BLUECROSS AND BLUESHIELD PLANS

SUMMARY OF QUESTIONED CHARGES BY PLAN

Site Number	Plan Name	State	Total Questioned		Amounts Questioned by Year		Plan	Plan
			Claim Lines	Total Charges	2011	2012	Agrees	Disagrees
056	BlueCross BlueShield of Arizona	AZ	100	\$25,916	\$8,252	\$17,664	\$2,240	\$23,675
058	Regence BlueCross BlueShield of Oregon	OR	32	\$9,725	\$4,471	\$5,254	\$1,072	\$8,654
059	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Maine	ME	103	\$15,632	\$5,950	\$9,681	\$2,882	\$12,750
060	BlueCross BlueShield of Rhode Island	RI	322	\$13,118	\$9,258	\$3,859	\$899	\$12,219
061	Wellpoint BlueCross BlueShield of Nevada	NV	31	\$9,942	\$2,671	\$7,271	\$2,747	\$7,196
062	WellPoint BlueCross Blue Shield of Virginia	VA	634	\$29,778	\$10,795	\$18,983	\$3,615	\$26,163
064	Excellus BlueCross BlueShield of the Rochester Area	NY	28	\$2,792	\$0	\$2,792	\$486	\$2,307
066	Regence BlueCross BlueShield of Utah	UT	8	\$848	\$0	\$848	\$0	\$848
067	BlueShield of California	CA	419	\$79,281	\$16,784	\$62,497	\$114	\$79,168
068	Triple-S Salud, Inc. of Puerto Rico	PR	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
069	Regence BlueShield (Washington)	WA	279	\$36,410	\$13,847	\$22,563	\$4,333	\$32,077
070	BlueCross BlueShield of Alaska	AK	28	\$10,214	\$0	\$10,214	\$0	\$10,214
074	Wellmark BlueCross BlueShield of South Dakota	SD	26	\$5,480	\$1,792	\$3,688	\$2,414	\$3,066
075	Premera BlueCross	WA	23	\$4,290	\$917	\$3,373	\$0	\$4,290
076	WellPoint BlueCross BlueShield of Missouri	MO	150	\$16,907	\$4,157	\$12,750	\$7,943	\$8,964
078	BlueCross BlueShield of Minnesota	MN	76	\$29,750	\$6,062	\$23,688	\$1,776	\$27,974
079	Excellus BlueCross BlueShield of Central New York	NY	4	\$105	\$50	\$54	\$0	\$105
082	BlueCross BlueShield of Kansas	KS	69	\$8,504	\$5,064	\$3,440	\$539	\$7,965
083	BlueCross BlueShield of Oklahoma (HCSC)	OK	181	\$17,732	\$5,346	\$12,386	\$628	\$17,104
084	Excellus BlueCross BlueShield of Utica-Watertown	NY	22	\$530	\$432	\$99	\$0	\$530
085	CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield (DC Service Area)	DC	1217	\$69,365	\$33,434	\$35,931	\$22,338	\$47,027
088	BlueCross of Northeastern Pennsylvania	PA	2	\$256	\$0	\$256	\$256	\$0
089	BlueCross BlueShield of Delaware	DE	78	\$2,286	\$1,532	\$755	\$647	\$1,639
092	CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield (Overseas Area)	DC	4	\$2,118	\$1,852	\$267	\$1,852	\$267
TOTALS			15,794	\$1,423,823	\$559,035	\$864,788	\$466,670	\$957,153

Number of BCBS Plans with Overpayments = 62

Appendix



**BlueCross BlueShield
Association**

An Association of Independent
Blue Cross and Blue Shield Plans

Federal Employee Program
1310 G. Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
202.942.1000
Fax 202.942.1125

October 1, 2013

[REDACTED]
Group Chief
Experience-Rated Audits Group
Office of the Inspector General
U.S. Office of Personnel Management
1900 E Street, Room 6400
Washington, DC 20415-1100

**Reference: OPM DRAFT AUDIT REPORT
Non-Covered Ambulance Services Global Audit
Audit Report #1A-99-00-13-046**

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in response to the above - referenced U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) Draft Audit Report concerning the Global Coordination of Benefits Audit for claims paid from June 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012. Our comments concerning the findings in the report are as follows:

Recommendations 1, 2 and 3:

Non-Covered Ambulance Service Charges	\$2,953,809
--	--------------------

The OPM OIG submitted their sample of potential non covered Ambulance Service payment errors to the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association (BCBS) on July 1, 2013. The BCBS Association and/or the BCBS Plans were requested to review these potential errors and provide responses by September 30, 2013. The sample included claims reimbursed from June 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012. OPM OIG selected the entire population of 11,834 FEP claims, totaling \$2,953,809 in potential claim overpayments for review.

Blue Cross Blue Shield Association (BCBSA) Response:

After reviewing the OIG listing of potential non-covered Ambulance services totaling \$2,953,809, the BCBS Association identified the following:

- Claims were paid correctly for covered accidental injury transport.
- Claims were paid correctly for covered medical emergency transport.
- Claims were paid correctly for medically necessary hospital/skilled nursing home/hospice transport.
- Claims were paid correctly for claims reviewed and approved for medically necessary ambulance transport.

October 1, 2013

Page 2

Plans disagreed to \$5,472 in potential non-covered Ambulance services because the overpayment was below the threshold for recovery (overpayments ranged from .01 to \$100) or because Plans were unable to initiate recovery as a result of provider contract limits on recovery initiation.

Plans agreed that \$399,231 of the questioned amount was paid in error and the error was not identified by the start of the audit. These claims were paid in error as a result of:

- Processor error for overpayments totaling \$56,158.
- Provider billing errors for overpayments totaling \$107,044.
- Plan local system pricing errors for overpayments totaling \$53,488.
- FEP Claim system pricing error for overpayments totaling \$139,946.
- Other miscellaneous reasons totaling \$42,595.

The Plans will continue to pursue the remaining overpayments as required by CS 1039, Section 2.3(g) (l).

Documentation to support all potential non-covered ambulance services were provided on September 30, 2013. In addition, we have attached a schedule listed as Attachment A that shows the amount questioned, contested, and agreed to by each Plan location.

The FEPDirect claim system was updated on April 1, 2012 to include a system edit to prevent certain non-emergency ambulance transport claims from paying without Plan medical review. However, BCBSA expects to evaluate the results of this audit to identify additional corrective actions that will further reduce the occurrence of these overpayments in the future. We expect to have this activity completed by November 30, 2013.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide our response to this Draft Audit Report and would request that our comments be included in their entirety as part of the Final Audit Report.

Sincerely,

Managing Director
FEP Program Assurance

Attachments